PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Received

MAR 3 2003

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
ANCA C. GHEORGHIU
CORNING INCORPORATED
SP TI 3 1
CORNING, NY 14834

PCT

Patent Dept.

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

405100	(1011101)
Resp Due 4125165	Date of Mailing (day/month/year) 25 FEB 2023
Applicant's or agent's file reference SP01-337	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US02/32709	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 October 2002 (15.10.2002)
Applicant CORNING INCORPORATED	

1.	\boxtimes	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report has been established and is transmitted herewith.			
F T		Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):			
		When?	The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.		
		Where?	Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35		
		For mor	e detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.		
2.		The applica	nt is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under 2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.		
3.		the pr	of to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: of the together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the cant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. Cision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.		

4 Reminders

Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 bis.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer	\mathcal{L}
Commissioner for Patents Box PCT	Jason M. Greene	DD/
Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	Telephone No. 703-308-0661	

Form PCT/ISA/220 (April 2002)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SP01-337		or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below		
International application No. PCT/US02/32709			International filing date (day/month/year) 15 October 2002 (15.10.2002)		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 13 December 2001 (13.12.2001)	
	Applicant CORNING INCORPORATED					
accor	rding to	Article 18. A copy is being tional search report consists	g transmitted to the International I	Bureau.	ithority and is transmitted to the applicant in this report.	
1. 1	a.		the international search was carried , unless otherwise indicated under the		basis of the international application in the	
t	. <u>'</u>	the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international earch was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the international	al application in written form.			
		filed together with the interest	national application in computer rea	dable form.		
		furnished subsequently to th	is Authority in written form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.				
		the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.				
2.		Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).				
3. 4.	Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II). With regard to the title,					
₩.		the text is approved as subm	nitted by the applicant			
	Ħ	• •	I by this Authority to read as follow	s:		
5.	With re	egard to the abstract,				
		the text is approved as subm	nitted by the applicant.			
		the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.				
6.	The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1 as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures					
					None of the figures	
	because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.					
because this figure better characterizes the invention.						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US02/32709

A. CLA	ASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		PC1/US02/32/0		
IPC(7) : B01D 39/20; C04B 35/56, 35/58					
US CL	: 55/523, DIG. 30: 501/6, 9-87-96 1				
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both	h national c	assification and IDC		
B. FIEI	B. FIELDS SEARCHED				
Minimum de	Ocumentation searched (classification				
U.S. : 5	ocumentation searched (classification system follows 55/523, DIG. 30; 501/6, 7, 8, 9, 87, 88, 92, 96.1, 9	ed by classi	fication symbols)		
	, = = = 00, 501/0, 7, 0, 5, 87, 88, 92, 90.1, 9	90.3, 96.4,	97.1		
Documentati	on searched other than minimum documentation to	the extent the	hat such documents are included	in the fields seembed	
1			are meraded	in the fields searched	
Electronic de	tra base compulsed directions of the second				
Dieetroine da	ata base consulted during the international search (na	ame of data	base and, where practicable, sea	arch terms used)	
				,	
<u> </u>					
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriat	e of the relevant passages	D. I.	
Y -	1 03 5,750,020 A (GADKAREE et al) 12 May 199	8 (12 05 19	998) Figures 1 and 2 and	Relevant to claim No.	
	1 solution 2, the 50 to column 3, line 43.			1-35	
Y \-	1,000 1200 IL (CELIOSSEIT EL AL) (IN ALIBIRE LE	989 (08.08.	1989), column 1. line 5 to	1.25	
, ,	5, IIIC 2.			1-35	
Y —	US 5,023,215 A (CLEVELAND) 11 June 1991 (1 line 43	1.06.1991)	column 1, line 9 to column 3	1-4, 6-15, 17-30, and	
				32-35	
1 1	US 4,542,109 A (PASTO) 17 September 1985 (17 line 24.	.09.1985),	column 1, line 7 to column 3,	1-4, 6-15, 17-30, and	
Y -				32-35	
	US 5,884,138 A (CHALASANI et al) 16 March 19 column 6, line 15.	999 (16.03.	1999), column 3, line 12 to	10-35	
Y -		01.07.1003			
Y US 5,132,257 A (KODAMA et al) 21 July 1992 (21.07.1992). column 4, line 24 to column 6, line 50.				27 and 28	
Y —	US 5,578,534 A (TALMY et al) 26 November 199	06 (26 11 10	206) column 1 line 14	28	
ľ	Y US 5,578,534 A (TALMY et al) 26 November 1996 (26.11.1996), column 1, line 14 to column 5, line 60.				
ĺ					
[
Further of	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.		See patent family annex.		
	cial categories of cited documents:				
"A" document d	efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be	•	later document published after the inter- date and not in conflict with the applica	ITION but cited to undoccood the	
of particular	r relevance		principle or theory underlying the inver	ntion	
"E" earlier appli	ication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the cl	laimed invention cannot be	
			considered novel or cannot be considered	ed to involve an inventive step	
"L" document w establish the	thich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as		when the document is taken alone		
specified)	reason (as	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the cl	laimed invention cannot be	
"O" document re	ferring to an oral disclosure was a trace		combined with one or more other such a	when the document is	
	ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		being obvious to a person skilled in the	art	
"P" document pu priority date	ablished prior to the international filing date but later than the	"&"	document member of the same patent fa		
			of the same patern ra	inity	
Date of the actu	Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report				
22 January 2003 (22.01.2003)			5 2033	r ere	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US			d officer		
Commi: Box PC	ssioner of Patents and Trademarks	1.00.1101120	a officer	<i></i> /	
Washing	gton, D.C. 20231	Jason M.	Greene	$d\mathcal{N}_{\ell}$	
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	Telenhone	No. 703-308-0661	\mathcal{F}	
	10 (second sheet) (July 1998)				
_	· - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

The statement should be brief, it should not exceed 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confounded with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate short and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It should not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in the report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

In what language?

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is published. The letter and any statement accompanying the amendments must be in the same language as the international application if that language is English or French; otherwise, it must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed?

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a dunand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase?

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.